



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patentage Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	COMFIRMATION NO.	
09/788,059	02/16/2001	David Frederick Bantz	YOR920000804US1	5389	
7:	590 05/30/2003				
Duke W. Yee Carstens, Yee & Cahoon, LLP P.O. Box 802334 Dallas, TX 75380		EXAMINER			
			ELISCA, P	ELISCA, PIERRE E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3621	3621	
			DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	DATE MAILED: 05/30/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/788,059 Applicant(s)

David Frederick Bantz et al.

Examiner

Art Unit

		Pierre E. Elisca	3621
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence address
	for Reply		
THE N - Extens mailing	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed	after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.)	ng date of this communication. i.C. § 133).
Status 1) 🔯	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	12/16/2001	·
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.	·
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa		
Disposi	tion of Claims		
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u>	is/are	pending in the application.
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>/-33</u>		is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restric	ction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers		
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) 🗆 accepted or b) 🗆 objecte	ed to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	-	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply		b) disapproved by the Examiner
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	ve been received.	
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha	ve been received in Application N	lo
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Bure see the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	this National Stage
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	·	٥١
_	The translation of the foreign language provision		6).
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic) and/or 121.
Attachm	-	,,,	
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper I	No(s)
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) 💢 Inf	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3621



Examiner Pierre Eddy Elisca

United States Department of Commerce

Patent and Trademark Office

Washington, D.C. 20231

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is in response to Application No. 09/788,059, filed on 02/16/2001.
- 2. Claims 1-33 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fader et al.(U.S.

Pat. No. 6,519,570) in view of Baldwin et al. (6,310,952).

Art Unit: 3621

As per claims 1-3, 5-11 Fader substantially discloses a system/method of conducting a time-auction among queuing customers. A bid is received from one of the queuing customers and compared with the prices being offered by the other customers waiting in line. The queuing showing a user's updated position in the queue due to having bid a higher rate to receive services from the information provider (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a method of providing service provider information to a client device in a distributed computer system) comprising: obtaining at least one bid from one or more service providers for providing a service (see., abstract, col 2, lines 36-39, fig 5, col 6, lines 47-64, specifically wherein it is stated that the customer is billed at the highest bid price for the services received from the service provider); providing the at least one bid from the one or more service providers (abstract, col 6, lines 47-64). It is to be noted that Fader fails to explicitly disclose an estimated time completion for the service. However, Baldwin discloses a method/system for providing easy access to a service provider that provides service over a communications system. A queue 27 informs a caller of an estimated amount of time before the caller will reach the top of the queue. A set of information includes information such as the name of the caller, the amount of money the caller is willing to pay, or bid, for a queue (see., Baldwin, col 4, lines 33-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the time-auction of Fader by including the limitation detail above because such modification would provide automated access to service providers based upon an estimated amount of time.

Art Unit: 3621

As per claim 4, Fader discloses the claimed method wherein the at least one bid includes a price for providing the service (see., abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that a bid is received from one of the queuing customers and compared with the prices being offered by the other customers).

As per claims 12-14, 16-25, and 27-33 Fader substantially discloses apparatus of conducting a time-auction among queuing customers. A bid is received from one of the queuing customers and compared with the prices being offered by the other customers waiting in line. The queuing showing a user's updated position in the queue due to having bid a higher rate to receive services from the information provider (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that an apparatus of providing service provider information to a client device in a distributed computer system) comprising:

obtaining at least one bid from one or more service providers for providing a service (see., abstract, col 2, lines 36-39, fig 5, col 6, lines 47-64, specifically wherein it is stated that the customer is billed at the highest bid price for the services received from the service provider);

providing the at least one bid from the one or more service providers (abstract, col 6, lines 47-64). It is to be noted that Fader fails to explicitly disclose an estimated time completion for the service. However, Baldwin discloses a method/system for providing easy access to a service provider that provides service over a communications system. A queue 27 informs a caller of an estimated amount of time before the caller will reach the top of the queue. A set of information includes information such as the name of the caller, the amount of money the caller is willing to pay, or bid, for a queue

Art Unit: 3621

(see., Baldwin, col 4, lines 33-61). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the time-auction of Fader by including the

limitation detail above because such modification would provide automated access to service

providers based upon an estimated amount of time.

As per claims 15, 26, Fader discloses the claimed limitations wherein the at least one bid includes a

price for providing the service (see., abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that a bid is received

from one of the queuing customers and compared with the prices being offered by the other

customers).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Pierre

Eddy Elisca at (703) 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from

6:30AM. to 5:00PM.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

James Trammell can be reached on (703) 305-9768.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

The Official Fax Number For TC-3600 is:

Art Unit: 3621

(703) 305-7687

Ville I Sula Pierre Eddy Elisca

Patent Examiner

March 28, 2003